ADVANCED PLANNING ideas

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans
Why Administration Matters

By: Howard D. Stern, FSA
Vice President & Actuary
The Pangburn Company

***HOWARD D. STERN, FSA** is Vice President and Actuary with the Pangburn Company, a privately owned, fee-for-service, nonqualified benefit plan TPA, operating on a national basis.*

Howard owns a Bachelor of Science degree from the City University of New York as well as a Master of Science degree from New York University in Applied Mathematics and Statistics. Additionally, he is a Fellow of the Society of Actuaries (FSA) and a member of the American Academy of Actuaries.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation (NQDC) Plans have been a very popular mechanism for providing supplemental benefits to an organization's key executives. Their popularity has been increasing over the past few years, due mainly to the constantly expanding limitations on benefits that may be provided through Qualified Plans. According to the Department of Labor, between 1975 and 2007, U.S. companies have established over 96,000 NQDC plans covering over 1,478,000 participants. Plan popularity can also be attributed to companies desiring to "lock in" their key employees for competitive reasons, as well as flexibility in plan design and the ability to provide benefits for only a select group.

In the last few years, the landscape has changed considerably with regard to NQDC Plans. There has been a perfect storm with the convergence of complex compliance, reporting, disclosure, and accounting requirements. The good news is that practitioners and their clients have very specific, albeit complex, rules and guidelines for designing, implementing and administering NQDC plans. Once considered "simple" in contrast to their Qualified Plan cousins, NQDC plans remain a viable but now more complicated benefit solution. No longer the exclusive domain of large public companies, NQDC plans have broad appeal to employers of all sizes. The average number of participants in all U.S. plans is 15, whereas the average number of participants in 90% of all those plans (plans with 100 or fewer participants) is only 7, with 25% of all plans having only 1 participant. As smaller companies with smaller budgets and less in-house expertise attempt to navigate through the perfect storm, reliance on consultants, advisors and third party administrators and recordkeepers becomes more and more critical. Since noncompliance with federal regulations now results in substantial financial penalty to the plan participants, plan

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI

sponsors are under increasing pressure to insure that all parties play by the rules. What follows is a brief synopsis of the factors contributing to today's perfect storm:

IRC § 409A

Clearly the single most significant development in the last four years was the enactment of IRC § 409A which promulgated for the first time an extensive and complex set of rules and regulations governing nearly all deferred compensation arrangements. In April 2007, the I.R.S. published the final regulations to IRC § 409A. A knee-jerk reaction to certain real and imagined abuses, primarily by a handful of large public companies, 409A has dramatically changed the landscape for NQDC plans by imposing, for the first time, significant financial penalties on the plan participant rather than the plan sponsor.

Although exhaustive (over 300 pages), the final regulations issued in September 2007 focus on four main areas: election restrictions, distribution restrictions, acceleration restrictions and definitions.

(1) Election Restrictions – In general, a participant's election to defer compensation for a taxable year must be made no later than the close of the preceding taxable year. A valid election is comprised of: (a) the amount of the deferral, and (b) the desired time and form of the deferred distribution. There are a few exceptions to the "previous taxable year" rule. A new participant has up to 30 days following eligibility to make a deferral election. An election to defer "Performance Based Compensation" (i.e., compensation based on achievement of pre-determined performance criteria) can be made up to six months before the end of the performance period (the performance period must be at least 12 months). The regulations, however, allow the time and form of payment selected to be modified if certain requirements are met.

(2) Distribution Restrictions – A distribution can be made only upon the occurrence of one or more of the following six "permissible payment" events: (a) a separation from service, (b) death, (c) disability, (d) a specified time, (e) a change of control (i.e., ownership), or (f) an unforeseeable emergency. The regulations allow some additional flexibility by permitting a distribution to be made upon the "later of" or "earlier of" two of the above payment events. In addition, each payment event may have a different form of payment. For example, a plan may state that, upon death or disability, the distribution will be made in a lump sum while, upon a separation from service, the benefit will be paid in periodic installments.

(3) Acceleration Restrictions – The regulations prohibit the acceleration of a payment, subject to a short list of exceptions. So, for example, if a deferral election is made to distribute funds at age 65, it would not be permitted to distribute the funds at age 55. The regulations permit the acceleration of payments under the following conditions: (a) a domestic relations order, (b) inclusion of income due to violation of 409A, (c) in order to pay FICA tax, (d)

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI

certain conflicts of interest, (e) plan termination if certain conditions are met, and (f) lump sum cash out if the value of the deferral is below an amount stipulated in the plan document.

(4) Definitions – Plan documentation must conform to required definitions, such as the definition of "disability" or "unforeseeable emergency."

IRC § 101(j) – Employer-Owned Life Insurance Best Practices

The Pension Protection Act of 2006 created IRC § 101(j), which affected the taxation of employer-owned life insurance. Under § 101(j), life insurance proceeds received by an employer will only be tax free to the extent that the death benefit exceeds the premiums (or other basis), unless one of the stated exemptions applies, *AND* certain notice and consent requirements are met. With regard to employer-owned life insurance used to informally fund a NQDC arrangement, the most important exemption is the "employee exemption." If the insured falls under the employee exemption *and* the notice and consent requirements are met, the full proceeds will escape income tax. The employee exemption will apply when the insured:

(1) Was an officer, director, or "highly compensated employee" (a 5% shareholder, or earning at least $108,000 in 2008 – indexed for inflation) at any time during the 12 month period before the insured's death, or

(2) At the time of policy issue, was a director, "highly compensated employee," or "highly compensated individual" (one of the 5 highest paid officers, a 10% shareholder, or among the highest paid 35% of all employees).

The notice and consent rules require:

- The employee to provide written consent to be insured.
- The employee to be made aware that coverage may continue after the insured terminates employment.
- The employee to be informed that the employer is the beneficiary under the policy.

The employer is also required to file an annual report with the I.R.S. (form 8925) which will disclose such items as the number of employees insured and the total amount of insurance in force at the end of the year.

The new rules apply to all policies issued after August 17, 2006. In addition, the new rules will apply to any policy issued prior to August 17, 2006 that is "materially modified."

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI

Reporting and Disclosure – W2 and 1099 Requirements

The I.R.S. has added a number of W2 and 1099 requirements for NQDC Plans. In general, participant annual deferrals, earnings, and distributions will require special handling on the appropriate form (W2 for employees and 1099-MISC for non-employees, such as independent contractors). The rules require careful placement of deferrals, earnings, and distributions in the appropriate boxes on the forms. For example, current year deferrals and earnings will be required to be placed in a separate disclosure box with an identification code (Box 12 code Y on form W2).

Current year participant deferrals and current year vested employer contributions will be reported in form W2's Boxes 3 and 5, but not in Box 1. These requirements are a continuation of what has been required historically for FICA reporting; 409A did not change the reporting of FICA.

For years 2008 and beyond, current year deferrals and earnings must be isolated and reported separately. The I.R.S. has suspended this requirement for 2007.

With respect to "withholding," employers must treat employee includable income as supplemental wages for purposes of determining the amount of income tax to withhold.

Reporting and Disclosure - SEC Disclosure Requirements

On July 26, 2006, the SEC adopted amendments to the rules on executive compensation disclosure for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2006. The Final Rules were promulgated as of August 29, 2006. The Final Rules require information regarding nonqualified plans. The information is required to be reported for certain specified executives, by entries to the following tables for the Fiscal Year:

- Summary Compensation Table – Summarizes and reports all forms of compensation earned by the specified executive (i.e., salary, bonus, stock options, etc.).

- Pension Benefits Table (Defined Benefit Plans) – For each executive, reports years of credited service, the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits, and distributions for the year.

- Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans Table (Defined Contribution Plans) – Disclosure of contributions, earnings, and distributions for each executive.

Accounting for Defined Benefit Plans - FASB 158

In September 2006, FASB issued Statement No. 158, which significantly modified the rules for accounting and reporting liabilities for Defined Benefit Pension Plans, Non-Qualified Defined Benefit SERP Plans, and other Post-Retirement Defined Benefit Plans. The new rules apply to publicly traded companies (effective for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2006) and

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI

private companies (effective for fiscal years ending on or after June 15, 2007) that currently report obligations and expenses under FASB 87 or FASB 106.

The focus of the new rules is on accounting for plan liabilities on the Balance Sheet. The new rules do not currently change how these benefits are accounted for on the Income Statement (P&L). FASB has stated that they will address this issue in the near future (Phase II).

Previous Accounting Standards

Previous accounting standards permitted the reporting of a liability on the Balance Sheet as an Accrued Pension Expense (subject to a minimum). This number was substantially smaller than the "Unfunded Obligation," which is measured as the Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO) less Plan Assets (for non-qualified plans, Assets = 0). The PBO is the actuarial measure of the present value of accrued projected future benefits, taking into account all future salary increases for plan benefits based upon salary. The nature of the "Unfunded Obligation," or "Funded Status," was disclosed in footnotes to the financial statements. Each year, the Balance Sheet Liability was increased by a current year's pension cost (Service Cost), an Interest Cost, and an "Amortization Component." These three components (together called the Current Year's Pension Expense) would gradually bring the Balance Sheet Liability in line with the "Full Liability" (PBO) over time.

New Accounting Standards due to FASB 158

FASB 158 requires immediate recognition of the Full Liability of the Plan to appear on the Balance Sheet. For non-qualified plans, this means that the full PBO will now be immediately recognized as the Balance Sheet Liability, instead of the Accrued Pension Expense. The new accounting standard requires that the Equity side of the Balance Sheet (Other Comprehensive Income) be decreased as the offsetting entry to the increase in Balance Sheet Liability. This could materially affect companies with loan covenants that are equity sensitive.

It should be noted that FASB 158 does not alter the accounting rules under APB 12 and therefore will not affect these plans.

Accounting For Post-Retirement Split Dollar

In the last 18 months, FASB has ratified directives relating to the appropriate accounting for post-retirement split dollar life insurance arrangements. Both endorsement and collateral assignment are affected by the FASB ratification of EITF 06-04 and 06-10, respectively. In essence, Post-Retirement Split Dollar arrangements that require an employer to maintain a life insurance policy during an employee's retirement or require the employer to provide a post retirement death benefit will require recognition of a balance sheet liability.

The liability should be computed in accordance with FASB 106 or APB 12, depending upon whether a formal plan exists or the arrangements are individual agreements with selected employees.

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI

The liability will be based upon:

(1) The present value of the expected cost of insurance charges in the policy if the employer's obligation is to maintain the life insurance policy; or

(2) The present value of the expected death benefit to be paid if the employer's obligation is to provide a post-retirement death benefit.

The new accounting rules apply to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Most existing split dollar plans will offset the initial liability set up by a cumulative adjustment to Equity.

Plan Designs

One of the reasons for the popularity of NQDC Plans is flexibility in design – being able to design a Plan to meet the specific objectives of the Plan Sponsor and individual participants. Although regulations have placed some limitations on plan design, there remains enough room for creativity. For instance, a NQDC Plan designed to "look and feel" like a 401(k) plan could still be established that would be more flexible than a traditional Qualified Plan. The NQDC Plan could permit the deferral of salary, bonus, or fees and allow participants a distinct set of deemed investment options and distribution choices for each deferral source. In addition, each year's deferral could be associated with its own vesting schedule (commonly called "class year vesting," a feature no longer permitted in 401(k) plans). Although regulations require the selection of a "time and form" of payment at the time of deferral, the regulations permit the modification of the time and/or form, if certain conditions are met. Unlike a "Qualified" 401(k) plan, a 401(k) "look-a-like" plan may allow for in-service distributions. Defined benefit plans are also getting a second look, especially in situations where the participants do not have a lot of investment risk tolerance, where the accumulation window is less than 10 years, or where participants would rather bargain for the guaranteed benefits of a defined benefit plan in lieu of salary or bonus increases and the complexity of account balance plans.

In Summary

The perfect storm of compliance, reporting, disclosure and accounting has focused attention on NQDC plans and mandated that clients and practitioners play more active roles in the design, implementation and administration of this most important of fringe benefits. Bundled or unbundled, design, funding and administration require a higher than ever level of both knowledge and technology. Failure to comply and the accompanying financial penalty on what could be an unwitting participant makes **compliance** (documentary, tax, accounting and reporting) the new mantra for NQDC practitioners.

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI

Source: *Advanced Planning Bulletin*, March 2008.

This publication is not intended as legal or tax advice; nonetheless, Treasury Regulations might require the following statements. This information was complied by the Advanced Planning Division of The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company. It is intended solely for the information and education and/or promotional purposes of Northwestern Mutual Financial Network Representatives and advisors with whom they work. It must not be used as a basis for legal or tax advice, and is not intended to be used and cannot be used to avoid any penalties that may be imposed on a taxpayer. Financial Representatives do not give legal or tax advice. Taxpayers should seek advice based on their particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor. Tax and other planning developments after the original date of publication may affect these discussions.

- To comply with Circular 230

© 2008 The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI